

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	D. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/045,049	5,049 01/15/2002		Monika Oswald	218230US0X 2944		
22850	7590	02/09/2005		EXAMINER		
OBLON, SF 1940 DUKE	•	STEIN, STEPHEN J				
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•			1775		

DATE MAILED: 02/09/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	- l'
10/045,049	OSWALD ET AL.	C
Examiner	Art Unit	
Stephen J Stein	1775	

	Stephen J Stein	1775	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 11 January 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amend condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The 	ment, affidavit, or other evidence, v al fee) in compliance with 37 CFR of e reply must be filed within one of t	vhich places the appl 41.31; or (3) a Reque	ication in st for Continued
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth	in the final rejection, wh	ichever is later. In
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	(b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action: or (2) as
2. The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appowas filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 4 Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)) has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time per AMENDMENTS	1.37 must be filed within two month FR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of	s of the date of filing	the Notice of
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	hut prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ecauce
 (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) 	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or			the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	* **		(DWG1 004)
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is profile status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 21-30. Claim(s) objected to: 14 and 15.	⊠ will not be entered, or b) □ will will will will will will will wi	ll be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) rejected: <u>9-13,16 and 17</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	nt before or on the date of filing a Nord d sufficient reasons why the affidate	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> rit or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apper y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	·	-	
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 			nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)	
13.		Steph St	
		Stephen J Stein	

Art Unit: 1775

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No. 10/045,049

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The newly added limitation "sintering" in claim 9 requires a further search and consideration of the prior art.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants' arguments do not overcome the rejections over the prior art of record.